

Georgia Mosquito Control Association
32nd Annual Meeting
October 21-23, 2009

October 21, 2009

FIRST SESSION

- 1) Washington Day - Mark Blackmore
 - a) Clean Water Act issue
 - b) Will no longer exempt public health pesticides
 - c) Permitting process may be required
 - i) Unfunded mandate
 - ii) Regulatory costs
 - iii) No permitting process exists
 - iv) Will limit the ability control mosquitoes
 - (1) Proactive public health/disease control
 - (2) Tourism and nuisance species
 - (3) Emergency mosquito control
 - v) Potential for lawsuits
 - d) Response
 - i) AMCA letters to the Governor for pesticide end-users
 - ii) Organizational letters
 - iii) Educate public
- 2) AMCA Update: 75 Years of Service - Doug Carlson
 - a) Who is the AMCA
 - i) Current president of AMCA
 - ii) Non-profit professional association
 - iii) Founded in NJ in 1935
 - iv) 1700+ members
 - v) International in scope - 52 countries
 - b) What does the AMCA do
 - i) Lobby/advocacy for legislative and regulatory issues
 - (1) Legal presence in Washington DC
 - (2) Technical advisor - Joe Conlon
 - (3) Washington Day
 - (a) Annual event since 1999
 - (b) Meet with legislative aides
 - (c) Discuss important issues in mosquito control
 - ii) Provides info and testimony impacting policy decisions
 - (1) CWA - Clean Water Act
 - (a) NPDES - National Pollution Discharge Elimination System
 - (b) FIFRA - Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, Rodenticide Act
 - (c) 3 circuit courts involved (<http://www.uscourts.gov/courtlinks/>)
 - (i) March 2001 - 9th Circuit Court

1. Ruled that pesticide discharges into US waters required a permit specific to an irrigation district
2. Nov 2002 - 9th Circuit Court issued another opinion specific to the US Forest Service
3. 2002 - 2nd Circuit Court issued a decision concerning a need for a NPDES permit for mosquito control in federal wetland areas
4. 2005 - 9th Circuit Court held that a pesticide applied consistent with FIFRA was not a pollutant
5. Nov 2006 - EPA
 - a. Permit not need to control mosquito larvae
 - b. Application covered under FIFRA
6. Lawsuits filed in 11 of 13 Circuit Courts by both pesticide industry and anti-pesticide group
7. Jan 2009 - 6th Circuit Court ruled
 - a. Not all pesticides are pollutants but all biological pesticides are pollutants
 - b. Residues are pollutants
8. EPA is developing an NPDES permit for the application of pesticides to the waters of the US
9. Cotton Industry is in appeal
10. AMCA is filing an amicus

(d) Costs?

- (i) Length of permit
- (ii) Number of permits needed
- (iii) Monitoring costs
- (iv) How fast can a permit be obtained

(2) WNV

(3) Wildlife Refuge issues (NFWS)

(4) EPA - PESP program

- (a) Reduction of pesticide risk
- (b) AMCA partners with EPA

iii) Education

(1) Publications

- (a) JAMCA
- (b) WingBeats
- (c) Bulletins
- (d) Newsletter
- (e) Webinars

(2) Annual meeting

- (a) March 28-April 1, 2010 - Lexington KY
- (b) March 20-24, 2011 - Anaheim CA
- (c) 2012 - Texas
- (d) 2013 - NJ

iv) Works with mosquito control industry

v) Public relations

(1) PSAs

- (2) “I’m One” program for WNV awareness
 - (3) National Mosquito Control Awareness week
 - (a) Annual event
 - (b) 2010: June 20-26
 - (c) Focus on the importance of mosquito control
 - vi) Website - www.mosquito.org
- 3) Historical Perspectives on Mosquito Control in Indian River County, FL - John Beidler
 - a) Started at Indian River in 1955
 - i) Commission program
 - ii) Oscar Fultz, later director of the Chatham County program, worked at Indian River
 - iii) Developed a 5-yr plan
 - iv) Put research money into the budget
 - b) Resistance to DDT had developed
 - c) Mosquitoes were very bad -
 - i) Landing rates of 50 a minute were common
 - ii) 40,000 acres to control
 - d) Control measures used
 - i) A lot of changes were needed
 - ii) Switched to thermal foggers and malathion and got excellent control
 - iii) Source reduction - ditching to give minnows access to wetland areas
 - iv) Diking and flooding for salt marsh mosquitoes
 - (1) Environmental issues
 - (2) Looked for research to be done to find best management practices
 - e) Lots of good stories ☺ including an elephant and explosions
- 4) INDUSTRY SPOTLIGHT
 - a) Bayer Environmental Science - Don Bodkin
 - i) Adulticide products
 - ii) Involved in reregistration of active ingredients
 - (1) Permethrin
 - (2) Resmethrin
 - b) B&G Chemical
 - c) AllPro Vector Control Group - David Sykes
 - i) Manufacturer/formulator
 - ii) Now selling direct
 - (1) Aqualure
 - (2) Evolure (oil-based)
 - (3) Provent
 - (4) Imbion - new product
 - (a) Water and oil dilutable
 - (b) 30-30
- 5) Environmental Stewardship along FL Indian River Lagoon - Doug Carlson
 - a) Goal - reduce pesticide risk (PESP program)
 - b) Balance mosquito control and natural resource interests
 - c) Indian River Lagoon

- i) Lagoonal Estuary - fresh and salt water mix
 - ii) 156 miles long
 - iii) 5 inlets
 - iv) Most diverse estuary in US
 - v) Salt marsh and mangrove swamps - mosquito issues
- d) IPM program
 - i) Source reduction
 - (1) Ditching dates back to the 1920s
 - (2) Dredging started in middle-1900s
 - (3) 1950s & 1960s - 40,000 acres of impoundments created
 - ii) Larviciding
 - iii) Adulticiding
- e) Salt Marsh control
 - i) Ditching increases water circulation
 - (1) Pro
 - (a) Let fish into area
 - (b) Reduce oviposition appeal
 - (2) Con
 - (a) Become clogged with silt and debris
 - (b) Fill with vegetation
 - (c) Problem becomes worse
 - ii) Impoundment management
 - (1) Eliminates marsh area by creating diked pools
 - (2) Benefits
 - (a) Eliminates larvicide treatments
 - (b) Benefits resident fish
 - (c) Can be managed for waterfowl
 - (d) Great for wading birds
 - (3) Environmental issues
 - (a) Interferes with water movement between marsh and estuary
 - (b) Can kill native vegetation
 - (c) Prevents movement of organisms
 - iii) Committee created to review management plans for salt marsh projects
 - (1) Multi-agency effort
 - (2) Looks to balance both nature resources and mosquito control
 - (3) Used available research to create a new plan
 - iv) Rotational Impoundment Management (RIM)
 - (1) Install water control culverts
 - (2) Minimal flooding during mosquito season to reduce mosquito numbers
 - (3) Open culvert to allow free exchange of water otherwise
 - (4) Allows minimal pesticide use to control mosquitoes
 - (5) Reestablished most natural marsh functions
 - v) Surface Water Improvement & Management Act (1987)
 - (1) Mosquito control partners with water management agencies
 - (2) Source of funding for the RIM
 - (3) Also helps fund restoration of impacted marshes

- 6) Adult Mosquito Ecology in Southwest Georgia - Eva Whitehead
 - a) Study site - Ichauway and JW Jones Ecological Research Center
 - i) 29000 acres
 - ii) Long leaf pine and wiregrass ecosystem
 - iii) History
 - (1) Emory University Field Station
 - (2) Malaria vector studies
 - b) Current project
 - i) 1st mosquito study at center since 1948
 - ii) Objectives
 - (1) Spatial variation
 - (a) 9 land use/cover categories
 - (b) Spatial analysis - ArcGIS
 - (c) Cluster analysis - PCOrg
 - (d) Indicator species analysis - PCOrg
 - (e) Focused on 11 different species
 - (2) Arbovirus prevalence
 - (a) Trapping
 - (i) Collected using gravid and CO₂-baited light traps
 - (ii) Backpack aspirator/resting boxes
 - (b) Virus isolation
 - (3) Host feeding patterns
 - (a) Blood-fed females
 - (b)
 - iii) Results
 - (1) Mosquitoes
 - (a) Collected 30 different mosquito species
 - (b) 9 genera
 - (c) 4 species made up ~80% of collection
 - (2) Analyses
 - (a) Cluster analysis - sites clustered into 3 groups
 - (i) 1 - forested wetlands
 - (ii) 2 - wetland
 - (iii) 3 - agricultural sites near residential areas
 - (b) Indicator Species analysis
 - (i) *Ps ferox* - site 1
 - (ii) *Cq perturbans* - site 2
 - (iii) *Culex* spp - site 3
 - (3) Arboviral testing
 - (a) 987 pools tested
 - (b) 1 pool WNV+ (quinces) - associated with agriculture
 - (c) 3 pools POTV+
 - (i) Associated with forested area
 - (ii) 2 pools *Ae vexans*
 - (iii) 1 pool *An punctipennis*
 - (d) Low arboviral prevalence

- (i) High bird diversity?
 - (ii) Time of year?
 - (iii) Associated with land use type/change?
- (4) Bloodmeal analysis
 - (a) 190 blood-feds collected
 - (b) 148 hosts identified
 - (c) 143 fed on mammals
 - (i) 9 mammalian hosts identified
 - (ii) 91% blood meals were from white-tailed deer
 - (d) 5 fed on birds
 - (i) 5 different bird species fed on
 - (ii) High bird diversity in area
- 7) The Delivery of *Bacillus thuringiensis israelensis* (Bti) to Adult Mosquitoes - Bannie Hulse (Tom Kollars)
 - a) Focus on malaria and dengue control
 - i) 1-3 million people contract malaria every year
 - ii) 50 - 100 million cases of dengue and 500,000 cases of DHF per year
 - iii) Biggest impact is to children
 - iv) Other regionally important vector-borne diseases
 - b) No current technique is entirely effective
 - c) Provector Bt- adult mosquito control
 - i) Developed by Dr Tom Kollars at MEVLABS, Inc
 - ii) How does it work
 - (1) Flower mimic
 - (a) Visual cues
 - (i) Color decal
 - (ii) Flower is black
 - (b) Olfactory clues - chemical attractants
 - (2) Used indoors
 - (3) Armed with Bti disk
 - (a) Lasts 6 months
 - (b) Potentially kills 10,000 mosquitoes
 - (4) Mosquitoes feed on “flower” and die
 - iii) No non-target effects
 - iv) Lab test results
 - (1) *Ae aegypti* - 80% kill rate
 - (2) *Anopheles* - virtually all mosquitoes die in a few days
 - v) Georgia Field Study
 - (1) Setup
 - (a) 16 tents set in a grid
 - (b) Provector at each tent
 - (c) Traps around “village”
 - (d) Control site
 - (2) Results - significant reduction at test site (3x lower mosquito populations)
 - vi) Many other study sites in other countries to look at

- (1) Efficacy and community effects
 - (2) Number needed to provide disease control
- d) Bottom Line
 - i) ~\$8 each
 - ii) Targeted to mosquitoes
 - iii) Recommended to be used with other methods of control
- 8) New Larvicide Trials - Jim McNelly
 - a) Natular
 - i) New larvicide from Clarke
 - ii) Dow Ag ferments the product
 - b) History
 - i) 1982 - Caribbean rum still soil collections
 - ii) 1986 - identified *S spinosa* in soil sample
 - iii) 1987 - metabolites identified
 - (1) Spinosyn A and B
 - (2) 2 most active metabolites
 - iv) 1995 - spinosad classified as a reduced risk pesticide product
 - v) 1997 - frst spinosad-based product registered
 - vi) 1999 - Presidential Green Chemistry Challenge Award
 - c) Clarke's Syewardship Challenge
 - i) Inert ingredient goals
 - (1) List 4 (minimal/reduced risk)
 - (2) NOP - National Organic Program (USDA)
 - ii) Reduced risk review
 - iii) Section 3 registration
 - iv) Organic Grower registration (OMRI listing)
 - d) Formulations
 - i) XRG (OMRI)
 - ii) G (in review)
 - iii) EC (in review)
 - iv) XRT (OMRI)
 - v) T30 (OMRI)
 - vi) DT - international registration
 - e) 2009 - managed rollout
 - i) Dynamic protocols
 - ii) 13 mosquito species targeted
 - iii) KY - container breeders
 - (1) >90% control within 24 hours
 - (2) ~100% control by 72 hours
 - iv) KY - floodwater species
 - (1) Similar results
 - (2) A little slower reacting in the anophelines
 - v) Montana - floodwater species
 - (1) Granular
 - (2) Just under max rate
 - (3) By 48 hours most larvae were gone

- vi) FL - saltmarsh species
 - (1) At max rate
 - (2) 98% control by 48 hours
- vii) Illinois - pretreatment application
 - (1) *Ae vexans* site - dry when treated
 - (2) Treated sites showed essentially no larvae for up to 30 days
- viii) Illinois - retention pond
 - (1) *Culex* spp
 - (2) Similar results
- ix) MN - small ground sites
 - (1) Complete mortality by 48 hours
 - (2) Control lasted ~5 weeks
 - (3) Still had over 90% efficacy
- x) NC - dredge spoil sites - similar activity as in MN
- f) Summary
 - i) Formulations consistently providing control
 - ii) Product should be used in a rotational larvicide regime

October 22, 2009

SECOND SESSION

- 1) The Status of Entomology in the State of Georgia - Ray Noblet
 - a) 2 programs
 - i) Insect Biology - biological studies of insects
 - ii) Insect Sciences - insects in their environments
 - (1) Insect Ecology
 - (2) Medical Entomology
 - (3) IPM
 - (4) Taxonomy
 - (5) Forensic Entomology
 - (6) Biosecurity/Biosafety
 - b) What is entomology
 - i) Life Science -
 - (1) Genetics/genomics
 - (2) Physiology
 - (3) Systematics
 - (4) Ecology
 - ii) Applied and Agricultural Science
 - (1) Agricultural pests
 - (2) Honey bees
 - (3) Public health aspects
 - c) Almost all insects are beneficial
 - d) Insect control and damage cost GA millions of dollars a year
 - e) History of entomology

- i) Roots in Chinese and Jewish culture
 - ii) Became more formalized in the 1900s
- f) UGA Entomology
 - i) Land Grant University
 - ii) Mandate
 - (1) Teaching
 - (2) Research
 - (3) Public outreach/extension programs
 - iii) Variety of educational programs
 - iv) 3 campuses
 - (1) Athens - comprehensive
 - (2) Griffin - urban insects
 - (3) Tifton - agricultural
 - v) Core areas
 - (1) Pathogen interactions/vector ecology
 - (2) Urban issues
 - (a) Termites
 - (b) Fire ants
 - (c) Household ants
 - (d) Companion animal pests
 - (3) Systematics, Taxonomy, and Evolutionary Biology of Insects
 - (a) Beetle systematics
 - (b) Fire ants
 - (c) Thrips
 - (4) Wetland Ecology and Environmental Toxicology/Biology
 - (5) IPM and Biological Control
 - vi) Extension programs
 - (1) Public education and safety
 - (2) IPM for major agricultural crops
 - (3) Livestock/companion animal pests
 - (4) Fruit crops
 - (5) Ornamentals/turf
 - vii) Jobs
 - (1) They are available
 - (2) Salaries are competitive
- 2) Seroprevalence of Dengue Fever in US Special Operations Forces - LTC Jennifer Caci
 - a) Special Operations Command
 - i) Soldiers located in ~100 countries
 - ii) Small teams
 - iii) Most located in areas of high disease threat
 - (1) Visceral and cutaneous leishmaniasis
 - (2) Malaria
 - (3) Dengue
 - b) Dengue in general
 - i) Most important mosquito-borne viral disease worldwide

- ii) Range increasing due to introductions of *Aedes albopictus*
 - iii) 4 serotypes
 - iv) Infection with one serotype followed by a second serotype leads to DHF or DSS
 - v) Full recovery can take up to a year
 - vi) No FDA approved rapid test
 - vii) No vaccine
 - viii) In the last 50 years, incidence of DF/DHF has increased 30-fold
- c) Dengue Hemorrhagic Fever
 - i) Associated with rapid death
 - (1) High morbidity with DF
 - (2) High mortality with DHF
 - ii) Increasing in prevalence
 - iii) 1970s - 9 countries reporting DHF
 - iv) Today ~40 countries reporting DHF
 - v) No rapid test for dengue complicates the issue
- d) Why Special Ops
 - i) Nearly continuous operations in dengue endemic areas
 - ii) Multiple deployments to dengue areas not uncommon
 - iii) Austere living conditions
 - iv) Small teams - losing one person is critical to mission accomplishments
 - v) "tough guys" won't always wear protective gear and take personal protective measures
- e) Dengue in USASOC personnel
 - i) Reporting is problematic, but numbers of reported cases are increasing
 - ii) Cases reported in 5 of 11 soldiers from one SF unit
 - iii) One Civil Affairs soldier was positive for all 4 serotypes
 - iv) Difficulties in treatment
 - (1) Small teams - can't remove a team member unless need is high
 - (2) Removal can be problematic
 - (3) Will the soldier develop DHF or DSS
 - (4) Should the soldier stay to finish the mission
 - (5) Difficult situation - need more info to make decisions
 - v) Study
 - (1) Collaborative effort
 - (a) USASOC
 - (b) WRAIR
 - (c) AFHSC
 - (2) Seroprevalence study
 - (3) DoD Serum Repository samples for time line
 - (4) Anonymous study
 - (5) ELISA
 - (6) Test for all 4 serotypes
 - (a) Presence
 - (b) Amount
 - (7) Analysis

- (a) Percentage of seropositive and seronegative
 - (b) Antibody prevalence
 - (c) Stratified by age, sex, race, etc
 - (8) May lead to a human subjects study
- vi) What happens when the military come home
 - (1) Vectors for these diseases are found in the US
 - (2) Outbreaks could occur here
 - (3) Info needs to be made actionable
 - (a) Helps medic know how to treat soldier during epidemic
 - (b) Helps track disease when the soldier returns home
 - (4) Study will hopefully help support vaccine development
- vii) Bottom line
 - (1) Personal protective measures are important
 - (a) Hard to remember to do these things when the priority is to stay alive
 - (b) “tough guy” mentality
 - (2) Reporting is critical
- viii) www.promedmail.org/pls/otn/f?p=2400:1000
- 3) Richmond County Mosquito Control - Fred Koehle
 - a) A good crew is vital
 - b) Equipment makes a big difference to your ability to get into areas to do control
 - c) Programs
 - i) Mule Barrier Spray
 - ii) Truck-mounted spray for adulticiding
 - d) County program
 - i) Starts April 1st
 - (1) Retention/detention pond larviciding
 - (2) Catch basins
 - ii) May 1st
 - (1) Begin adulticiding
 - (2) Barrier spray
 - iii) Surveillance
 - (1) Gravid traps
 - (2) CDC light traps with CO₂
 - (3) Working on getting in-house surveillance
 - (4) Currently primarily complaint-driven
 - iv) Partnering -
 - (1) Work with groups to set up programs
 - (2) Provide training and support
 - (3) Current partners
 - (a) Augusta Solid Waste Dept
 - (b) Augusta Regional Airport
 - (c) Olin Corporation
 - (d) Augusta Country Club
 - (e) Augusta State University
 - (f) Augusta Golf Course

- v) Pool program
 - (1) Number in system - 58
 - (2) On maintenance - 21
 - (3) In court - 7
 - (4) Completed - 32
- vi) Community Involvement
 - (1) Christmas Parade
 - (2) Educational program
 - (a) Civic groups
 - (b) Schools
 - (c) Homeowners associations
 - (d) Businesses
- vii) Next project - tires
- 4) INDUSTRY SPOTLIGHT
 - a) Central Life - Charlie Pate
 - i) Altosid
 - (1) larvicide
 - (2) Many formulations for all habitats
 - (3) Can pretreat
 - (4) Labor cost savings
 - (5) Means confidence, efficacy, control
 - ii) Zenivex
 - (1) Adulticide
 - (2) Etofenprox
 - (3) No PBO
 - (4) Similar mode to pyrethroids
 - (5) Low toxicity in mammals and birds
 - (6) Reduced risk product
 - (7) Rapid knockdown and kill
 - (8) No aquatic setbacks
 - b) Curtis DynaFog - Matt Tandy
 - i) Equipment
 - ii) Foggers
 - (1) Hand-held to truck mount
 - (2) Thermal and ULV
 - iii) Light traps
 - (1) Incandescent
 - (2) Black light
 - (3) Basic
 - iv) Sell through Univar
 - c) Univar - Joe Andrews
 - i) Bti line
 - ii) Altosid
 - iii) Adulticides
 - iv) Equipment
- 5) Working with Landowners - Ben Brewer

- a) Glynn County Mosquito Control
- b) Area of concern - new landowner of Little Saint Simons Island
- c) Past control
 - i) Marsh is ditched
 - (1) Bridges were placed in dike to allow water to enter
 - (2) Need maintenance
 - (3) Issues with Army Corp of Engineers
 - (4) Problem - Sanchopan Marsh
 - ii) Aerial control - larvicide
 - iii) ULV machine on island
 - iv) Hand-held fogger
- d) Problems
 - i) Ecological Advisory Council - would rather do nothing
 - ii) Eco-tourism
 - iii) Issues
 - (1) Stopped adulticide
 - (2) Took horses off island
 - (3) Hogs trapped
 - (4) Raccoons killed
 - (5) Deer killed
 - (6) Protect birds
 - (7) Organic garden
 - iv) What they want
 - (1) Better data
 - (2) Proof of problem
 - (3) They OWN marsh
 - v) Lesson learned
 - (1) 1st meeting - introduce yourself and program
 - (2) Next time - ask for things
- e) New strategy
 - i) Problem
 - (1) Is it worth larviciding the area if the whole area can't be done
 - (2) Will need to adulticide anyway
 - ii) LSSI staff now larvicides
 - iii) Surveillance
 - iv) Meet with individuals on council
 - v) Explore island
 - vi) Modify plans to suite council
 - vii) ACT HAPPY
- f) June 24, 2009
 - i) Organic garden flooded
 - ii) Lots of mosquito breeding
 - iii) Getting calls from LSSI
 - iv) Aerial larviciding done
- g) Hydrology and ditching
 - i) Problem - lots of water comes in, not much goes out

- ii) Need to get water in and out with tidal flow for a healthy marsh
 - h) Need to sell your program
 - i) Take home message - mosquitoes do not necessarily stay where they emerge
- 6) Brown Recluse Spider Distribution in Georgia - Ray King and Nancy Hinkle
 - a) Background
 - i) The spider
 - (1) Vary in color from chocolate brown to yellow brown
 - (2) 6 eyes in pairs
 - (a) Each eye same size as others
 - (b) Evenly spaced
 - (3) No pronounced stripes
 - (4) No spines
 - (5) Medium sized spider
 - (6) Web
 - (a) Loose
 - (b) Patternless
 - (c) Do not wrap prey in silk
 - (7) 13 species
 - (8) 4 species involved in human bite reports
 - ii) Bite
 - (1) ~10% cause tissue damage
 - (2) Most cause no problem
 - (3) No proven brown recluse deaths
 - (4) Very over-diagnosed
 - (5) Shy and retiring spiders
 - (6) Phospholipase enzyme causes damage
 - iii) Habitat
 - (1) Sheltered areas
 - (2) Low moisture level
 - (3) Low lighting
 - iv) Life cycle
 - (1) Live up to 2 years in captivity
 - (2) Egg sacs in May
 - v) Species confused with brown recluse
 - (1) Salticids - jumping spiders
 - (2) Southern house spider - esp male
 - (3) Spitting spiders
 - (4) Lycosids - Wolf spiders
 - (5) Dystera spp - woodlouse spider
 - (6) Pholcidae - cellar spiders
 - (7) Linyphiidae - sheet weavers
 - (8) Barn spider
 - b) The 7-year study
 - i) Found 19 brown recluse spider in the whole state of Georgia
 - ii) Over 2000 spiders submitted
 - (1) >1000 were southern house spiders

- (2) 2nd most commonly found - American house spider
- (3) 3rd - long-bodied cellar spider
- iii) Bites very over-diagnosed
 - (1) Other problems
 - (a) Sweet's diseases (autoimmune disease)
 - (b) MRSA
 - (c) Many other things
 - (2) 2001-2005
 - (a) >963 bites reported
 - (b) 103 counties reporting
- iv) Where were brown recluse found
 - (1) 58 specimens in recorded history in north GA above the Fall Line
 - (a) 2 dozen recorded from one house
 - (b) All 19 found in 7-year study were collected above Fall Line
 - (2) 2 specimens below Fall Line were most likely brought in from outside
 - (3) Correlation is between number of people and bite complaints, NOT number of spiders and bite complaints
 - (4) See maps in talk
- v) Need a spider ID'ed? Send it to UGA

THIRD SESSION

- 1) Urban Stream Pollution Increases Mosquito Fitness and Disease Vector Potential - Carolyn Keogh
 - a) Recent and current projects
 - i) Part of the CSO project
 - ii) First study - Lisa Calhoun et al
 - iii) www.envs.emory.edu/research/WNV/index.htm
 - b) Determinants of mosquito fitness
 - i) Adult survival
 - ii) Adult fecundity
 - iii) Juvenile survival
 - iv) Juvenile fecundity
 - (1) Larval nutrition
 - (2) Body size
 - v) Female oviposition choices
 - c) The study
 - i) Semi-natural study
 - ii) Oviposition in CSO vs non-CSO water
 - (1) Put fake CSO pools near CSO
 - (a) Looked at tap water vs CSO water
 - (b) 2x2 factorial design
 - (c) 4 treatments
 - (i) Just CSO water
 - (ii) Just tap water
 - (iii) Added nutrients to both
 - (d) Counted and removed egg rafts

- (2) Same time frame to eliminate seasonal differences
 - (3) Counted number of eggs
 - iii) Looked at effect of habitat size on oviposition preference
 - (1) Questions to answer
 - (a) Does the amount of water make a difference
 - (b) Presence or absence of con-specifics
 - (2) Design:
 - (a) 2 factors by 2 levels
 - (b) 4 clusters of 10 5 gallon tubs
 - (c) 3 gallons of water
 - (d) Set tubs in woods
 - (3) Counted egg rafts daily for an 8-day period
 - (a) 1st 8-day period - egg rafts left in tub
 - (b) 2nd 8-day period - egg rafts removed daily
 - (4) Analyses
 - (a) Split-plot linear mixed effects model
 - (b) Random factors
 - (i) Cluster
 - (ii) Error
 - (c) Fixed factors
 - (i) Nutrients,
 - (ii) Water quality
 - (iii) Number replicated
 - iv) Results
 - (1) No significant difference between number of egg rafts between CSO vs tap water overall
 - (2) Addition of nutrients is important
 - (3) There does seem to be a con-specifics effect but more work needs to be done
 - (4) Variability is at the level of an individual container
 - v) Conclusions
 - (1) Nutrient availability most important
 - (2) Oviposition medium seems to be the biggest factor driving oviposition site choice
 - (3) Preference for CSO water
 - (4) J Med Entomol. 46 (2): 220-226 (2009)
 - vi) Future studies
 - (1) Effect of larval habitat on fitness
 - (a) Fitness
 - (b) Time to emergence
 - (c) Body size
 - (d) Sex ratio
 - (2) Interactions with other stream inverts
 - (3) Density interactions
- 2) A New Cost Effective Mosquito Aspirator - Gonzalo Prokopec
- a) Need good surveillance to do good control

- b) Adult collection
 - i) Type of collecting
 - (1) Indoor vs outdoor
 - (2) Passive vs active
 - (3) Sampling vs control
 - ii) Trapping vs aspiration
 - (1) Traps
 - (a) Gravid
 - (b) Light
 - (2) Aspiration
 - (3) Landing counts
 - iii) All collection types differ in sensitivity and collect certain species, ages and physiological states
- c) Aspiration
 - i) Resting adult population
 - ii) Most suitable for indoor collections
 - iii) Unbiased estimate of species richness
 - iv) Technology
 - (1) CDC-Backpack aspirator (Gubler & Clarke, 1994)
 - (a) Pros
 - (i) Unbiased collection
 - (ii) Bloodfed females
 - (iii) High coverage
 - (iv) Indoor/outdoor
 - (v) Estimates of richness
 - (vi) High sensitivity
 - (b) Cons
 - (i) Heavy
 - (ii) Rigid and non-extendable
 - (iii) Costly
 - (2) Prokopack aspirator
 - (a) Smaller
 - (b) Light-weight
 - (c) Cheaper
 - (d) Uses a smaller battery
 - (e) Telescopic extension
 - (f) Same aspiration capacity as the CDC-Backpack aspirator (CDC-BP)
 - v) How the Prokopack (PKP) was conceived
 - (1) Study
 - (a) Overwintering in CSOs
 - (b) Looking to stratify of collection
 - (i) Ceiling
 - (ii) Top half of wall
 - (iii) Lower half of wall
 - (c) ~5 m high ceilings
 - (2) Needed

- (a) Smaller aspirator to get into difficult sites
 - (b) Lighter weight for long hours
 - (c) Extendable to reach high on walls and ceilings
 - (3) Features
 - (a) Weight:
 - (i) 0.88 kg
 - (ii) 4 kg with battery
 - (b) Cost ~\$40
 - (c) Extension pole
 - d) Prokopack tests
 - i) Atlanta CSO tunnels
 - (1) Collection done previously using CDC-Backpack aspirator
 - (2) Prokopack increased number of mosquitoes caught
 - (3) Why - most of mosquitoes were on ceiling
 - ii) Iquitos, Peru
 - (1) Indoor collections
 - (2) Paired trial between CDC-Backpack and Prokopack
 - (3) 10 minutes per house
 - (4) 71 houses
 - (5) Design
 - (a) Prokopack on ceiling
 - (b) Either CDC-Backpack or Prokopack used first in each house
 - (6) Results
 - (a) PKP collected more mosquitoes
 - (b) Overall collections was not significant
 - (c) Collected more mosquitoes of most species than the CDC-BP
 - (d) Relates to accessibility
 - (7) Importance
 - (a) Dengue can be transmitted at very low levels in houses
 - (b) CDC-BP underestimates number of mosquitoes in house
 - iii) Atlanta backyard collections
 - (1) Allows stratification of collection
 - (2) Ongoing
 - e) Commercial aspects
 - i) Emory submitted patent in Oct 09
 - ii) Searching for company to build and market PKP
 - iii) Want to keep PKP inexpensive
- 3) A Peek Into Our Program: the DeKalb Experience - Juanette Willis
- a) DeKalb stats
 - i) Demographics
 - (1) >700,000 residents
 - (2) 54% black
 - (3) 17% foreign-born
 - (4) 20% do not speak English at home
 - ii) Most densely populated county in GA
 - iii) Many important landmarks

- iv) Sites of interest
 - (1) CDC
 - (2) Emory
 - (3) Stone Mt Park
- b) The BOH Mission
 - i) Prevent virus infection in people
 - ii) Not to control mosquitoes
- c) Seasonal program
 - i) 9 Interns
 - ii) Part-time entomologist
 - iii) Supervisor
 - iv) Arboviral coordinator
- d) Strategy
 - i) Public education
 - (1) Health fairs
 - (2) Door-to-door
 - (3) Media events
 - (4) Press releases
 - (5) School programs
 - (6) 1-page program update for commissioners
- e) Website - www.dekalbhealth.net
 - (1) Priority facilities
 - (a) Provide an assessment
 - (b) Larvicide
 - (c) Provide WNV information
 - ii) Surveillance
 - (1) Trapping
 - (a) Primary - gravid traps
 - (b) Also have light traps
 - (c) Dippers
 - (d) Aspirator
 - (2) 24 trap locations
 - (3) Count, sort and ID
 - (4) Some sent for virus testing
 - (5) Respond to
 - (a) Increases in mosquito numbers
 - (b) Positive pools
 - (c) Dead bird clusters
 - iii) Control
 - (1) Larvicide
 - (a) Storm drains
 - (b) Retention/detention ponds
 - (2) Yard survey
- f) Results
 - i) Low numbers of cases
 - ii) Program is very transparent

- iii) Commissioners are happy
 - iv) Residents are happy
- g) ***Presentation on ArcGIS Explorer***
- 4) INDUSTRY SPOTLIGHT
 - a) AMVAC - Peter Connelly
 - b) Adapco - Trey English
 - i) Zenivex
 - (1) New class of product
 - (2) No PBO
 - (3) Low rates
 - ii) Mosquito database management
 - iii) Service
 - (1) Calibration
 - (2) Repair
 - (3) Other
 - iv) On Facebook
 - c) Valent - Candace Royals
 - i) Larvicides
 - (1) Vectobac Bti
 - (2) Vectolex - B sphaericus
 - ii) New product - vectomax (Bti and sphaericus combined)
- 5) Ground ULV and Equipment Calibration - David Sykes
 - a) History of thermal fogging
 - i) WWII technology
 - ii) First tests by Latta and LaMer ca 1945
 - iii) Mix of insecticide and carrying agent
 - (1) DDT
 - (2) Diesel or kerosene
 - iv) 1946 - Todd Shipyard made a thermal mosquito fogger
 - b) Benefits
 - i) Small drops
 - ii) Good penetration
 - iii) Easy to see fog
 - c) History of ULV Aerosols
 - i) Developed during the 1960s
 - ii) 1st papers presented at meetings by Dr Gary Mount in 1970s
 - iii) 1966 - machine developed by US Navy and Dept of Agriculture
 - d) Thermal vs ULV
 - i) ULV safer in traffic
 - ii) Both useful technology
 - e) ULV types
 - i) Low pressure nozzle
 - ii) High pressure nozzles
 - (1) Came out for a short time
 - (2) Needed really high pressure to get right size droplets
 - iii) Rotary atomizer

- iv) Small sprayers
- v) Backpack sprayers
- vi) Multi-purpose sprayers
- vii) Electric foggers
- viii) Aerial ULV
- ix) Push vs pull systems
- f) Maintenance
 - i) Check beginning of season and halfway through season
 - ii) Check
 - (1) Belts
 - (2) Chemical lines
 - (3) Fittings
 - iii) Keep exterior clean
 - iv) CALIBRATE - label is the law
 - (1) Chemical flow rates
 - (2) Droplet size
 - (a) Variety of methods
 - (b) Best method is the laser
 - (c) Other good method DCIII hotwire
- g) Pumping systems
 - i) Flow meters
 - ii) SCAMP
 - iii) Leco VF and CF
 - iv) Others
- h) Tracking/mapping options available
- i) Outcome
 - i) Droplets must impinge on mosquito
 - ii) Insecticide is moved by air currents
 - iii) Droplets need to be small enough to drift
 - iv) Need to be large enough to contain a lethal dose of insecticide
- j) Best time to spray - inversion with low wind speed
 - i) Warm air higher than cold
 - ii) Rain and wind are limiting
 - iii) Timing is critical
- k) Evaluate
 - i) Keep good records
 - ii) Do surveillance
- 6) From Dengue to WNV, Florida 2009 - Carina Blackmore
 - a) Arboviruses in FL
 - i) Flaviviruses
 - (1) WNV
 - (2) SLE
 - ii) Alphaviruses
 - (1) EEE
 - (2) HJV
 - iii) Dengue

- b) Surveillance coordination
 - i) Hospitals & clinics
 - ii) Veterinary offices
 - iii) Health Departments
 - iv) Mosquito control programs
 - v) Fish & Wildlife
 - vi) Many others
- c) Arbovirus Response Plan
- d) Data
 - i) EEE -
 - (1) Primarily seen in northern part of FL
 - (2) Average year
 - (a) 69 horses died
 - (b) 1 cassowary
 - (c) 168 sentinel chickens
 - (d) 3 mosquito pools
 - (e) 9 live birds
 - (3) No human cases in 2009
 - (a) Range 0-6
 - (b) Not an epidemic situation
 - (c) Difficult to predict
 - ii) WNV
 - (1) Low incidence year
 - (a) 2 human cases
 - (b) 3 horse cases
 - (c) 42 sentinel chickens
 - (d) 2 live wild birds
 - (2) Peak activity - August and September
 - iii) SLE
 - (1) No cases since WNV was introduced
 - (2) Have one suspect case this year
 - (3) Peaks in Sept and Oct
 - (4) WNV outcompetes SLE in the mosquito
 - iv) Dengue
 - (1) Case
 - (a) *Ae aegypti* is present on the Keys
 - (b) NY resident diagnosed with dengue after a trip to Key West
 - (c) No other known travel
 - (2) Virus
 - (a) Human disease - no animal host
 - (b) 4 serotypes
 - (c) Related to SLE and WNV
 - (d) Worldwide distribution
 - (3) Symptoms
 - (a) Often mild and non-specific
 - (b) Sudden onset of high fever

- (c) Aches and pains
 - (d) DHF
 - (i) Severe abdominal pain
 - (ii) Death
- (4) Local surveillance
 - (a) Found 4 additional cases
 - (b) High numbers of *Ae aegypti*
 - (c) Medical record search
 - (d) Serosurvey
 - (i) 170 homes
 - (ii) 240 individuals
- (5) Epi Curve
 - (a) Shows sustained transmission
 - (b) Extrinsic incubation period ~1 week
- (6) Challenges
 - (a) Dengue vs flu
 - (b) H1N1 is first thought of physicians and public
- (7) Obstacles
 - (a) No vaccine
 - (b) Difficulty in controlling vector
 - (c) Free movement of people between endemic areas and FL
- (8) Advantages
 - (a) No animal reservoir
 - (b) US lifestyle
 - (c) Established mosquito control
- 7) Mosquito Myths - Charlie Pate
 - a) Lemon Fresh Joy
 - i) Started in 2002
 - ii) A couple of drops on a plate will attract and kill mosquitoes
 - iii) FALSE
 - b) Listerine
 - i) Originated in Aug 2007
 - ii) Spraying Listerine will kill mosquitoes for days
 - iii) FALSE
 - c) Bats
 - i) Effective mosquito control
 - ii) Eat up to 600 mosquitoes per hour
 - iii) Came from a study by MD Tuttle in the 1950s
 - (1) Bats released in a room of mosquitoes at ~10 mosquitoes per minute
 - (2) Extrapolated to be 600 mosquitoes per hour
 - (3) Study looked at echolocation, not dietary preference
 - iv) FALSE
 - v) Problem - bats carry rabies
 - d) Purple martins
 - i) Effective mosquito control
 - ii) Purple Martin Conservation Association - feed on a diverse array of insects

- iii) 7-year study
 - (1) Sampled insects martins were eating
 - (2) Day time flyers
 - (3) Feed on insects flying high in the air
 - iv) FALSE
 - e) Bug Zappers
 - i) Kill lots of mosquitoes
 - ii) Studies show <1% of kill is mosquito
 - iii) Generates aerosol of bacteria and viruses
 - iv) FALSE
 - f) Bounce
 - i) Acts as a mosquito repellent
 - ii) Contain a perfume
 - iii) Contain many hazardous chemicals
 - iv) FALSE
 - g) Mosquito plants
 - i) Actually do repel insects
 - ii) Concentrated oils work to repel a number of insects
 - iii) PARTIALLY TRUE
 - h) Vitamin B-1
 - i) Dose that is indicated is 66x recommended dose
 - ii) Tested by Canadian Military - no repellent value
 - iii) FALSE
 - i) Ultrasonic devices
 - i) Range 20-70 kHz
 - ii) Wider range of frequency does not work either
 - iii) No effect on reorienting mosquito flight
 - iv) FALSE
 - j) "Mosquito Magnet" type traps
 - i) Need to be maintained
 - ii) Cover up to an acre
 - iii) Key is location
 - (1) Put in back of lot
 - (2) Best place - neighbor's yard
 - iv) Attract mosquitoes
 - v) WORKS
 - k) Avon Skin So Soft
 - i) Now contains picaridin
 - ii) Works
 - l) Eating garlic, onions, bananas - none of these work
- 8) The Influence of Selected Antibodies on Response of Black Fly Larvae to Bti - Joe Iburg
- a) Life cycle
 - i) Develop in flowing waters
 - ii) Eggs laid on vegetation
 - iii) Larvae attach to substrate

- iv) 7 larval instars
- v) Pupae attach to substrate
- vi) Adults
- b) Can vector diseases
- c) Significant nuisance species
- d) Control
 - i) Susceptible to Bti
 - ii) Parameters
 - (1) Flow rate
 - (2) Pooling
 - (3) Turbidity
 - iii) Algae can decrease efficacy of control
- e) Factors affecting control
 - i) Broderick et al - rearing lepidopteran larvae with antibiotics led to a reduction in Btk efficacy
 - ii) Antibiotics are found in black fly habitats (ppb range)
- f) Study - environmental levels
 - i) Looked at 4 common antibiotics - human and veterinary
 - ii) Control current toxicity test
 - (1) Setup
 - (a) 80 flasks
 - (b) 195 mL larval media
 - (c) 30 larvae
 - (d) Antibiotic mixture or distilled water (control)
 - (2) 43 to 67 hours of antibiotic expose
 - (3) Added 5 mL dose of Bti for 10 minute exposure
 - (4) 5 hour hold time
 - (5) Assessment
 - (a) No increase in mortality due to antibiotics
 - (b) Antibiotic exposure had no effect on Bti
- g) Study - extreme levels
 - i) Antibiotics did not kill larvae
 - ii) Actually saw some increase in mortality in antibiotic-exposed larvae treated with Bti
- h) Conclusions - antibiotics do not appear to be causing the decrease in efficacy of Bti in black fly control

23 October 2009

FOURTH SESSION

- 1) International Community Projects and ProVector - Heidi Hulsey
 - a) Worldwide mosquito-borne diseases are an issue
 - b) Solutions
 - i) Habitat modification

- (1) Ditching
 - (2) Community cleanup
 - ii) Structural barriers
 - (1) Window screens
 - (2) Air conditioners
 - iii) Pesticides
 - iv) Bed nets
 - (1) ITNs
 - (2) LLINs
 - (3) Non-pesticide-impregnated
 - v) Medical interventions
 - vi) Future - vaccine
 - c) ProVector
 - i) Use
 - (1) ~7 people are thought to be protected with one flower
 - (2) Used indoors
 - (3) Low cost
 - (4) Long acting
 - (5) Rapidly reduces mosquito populations
 - (6) Used as part of an IMM plan
 - ii) Uses Bti
 - iii) Delivery system to adult mosquitoes
 - iv) 25 community projects ongoing
 - d) Community Programs
 - i) Locals are taught to assemble ProVector
 - ii) Looking to see how many houses need a ProVector to provide community-wide control
 - iii) Success rate
 - (1) <1 month
 - (2) 90-100% control
- 2) Alternate Adulticiding: Barrier Sprays - Joe Andrews
- a) Background
 - i) Requires no special equipment
 - ii) Focuses residual treatment to mosquito resting sites
 - iii) Part of an IMM program
 - b) Part of a Barrier Spray Program
 - i) Readily available
 - ii) Non-phytotoxic
 - (1) Do not use on flowers
 - (2) Will affect beneficials
 - iii) Long residual
 - iv) Non-irritating
 - v) Easy to apply
 - c) Use
 - i) Around buildings
 - ii) Wall and plants

- iii) Under decks
 - iv) Dark protected areas
 - v) Foliage near breeding sites
 - vi) Fences
- d) Process
 - i) Use properly labeled materials
 - ii) Variety of sprayers available
 - iii) Treat harborage areas
- e) Potential treatment areas
 - i) Ball parks
 - ii) School perimeters
 - iii) Churches
 - (1) Events
 - (2) Evening services
 - iv) Day care centers
 - v) Retirement homes
 - vi) Group homes
 - vii) Outdoor activity sites
 - viii) Tree line adjacent to sub-divisions and other developments
- f) Why add barrier treatments
 - i) Can be done during regular business hours
 - ii) Concentrated products are relatively inexpensive compared to ULV products
 - iii) Extended residuals reduces amount of control needed
 - iv) Can be used in places where ULV spraying is difficult to do
- g) Data show that barrier spraying is highly effective
- h) Products
 - i) Microencapsulated products
 - (1) Long lasting
 - (2) Protects AI from environments
 - ii) Variety of other products labeled for barrier use
- 3) Re-evolving a Taste for Blood: Climate Change and Blood-Feeding Behavior in Pitcher Plant Mosquitoes - Bill Irby
 - a) Long-term study
 - b) The System
 - i) Purple pitcher plant, *Sarracenia purpurea*
 - ii) *Wyeomyia smithii*
 - c) Differences in blood feeding expression
 - i) Some populations are autogenous
 - (1) Obligatory - never feed on blood
 - (2) Facultative - lay one batch of eggs then need a blood meal to produce additional eggs
 - ii) What is driving the change in blood-feeding behavior?
 - iii) Northern vs southern populations
 - d) The Study
 - i) Tattnall County Georgia near Claxton
 - ii) Relict population of pitcher plants and mosquitoes

- iii) Looked at:
 - (1) Feeding behavior
 - (2) Fecundity
 - (3) Population genetic structure
 - (4) Hexamerin expression - storage protein product
 - (a) Sex pupae
 - (b) Look to see if hexamerin carries over from pupa to adult
 - iv) Compared to populations in FL and NC
 - v) Found in moist bog habitats
- e) Procedure
 - i) Collected larvae at each site
 - ii) Reared in lab
 - iii) Placed adults in cages with sugar water
 - iv) Allowed one round of egg laying
- f) Results
 - i) Hand-in-cage assay
 - (1) FL mosquitoes probed and blood fed
 - (2) GA mosquitoes probed but rarely blood fed
 - (3) NC mosquitoes did not probe or blood feed
 - ii) Egg production
 - iii) Isozyme studies
 - (1) Relationship between 3 populations varied
 - (2) FL and GA populations fairly closely related
 - (3) GA was intermediate in genetic structure
 - iv) Hexamerins - more northerly populations carried more hexamerins over to adult stage
 - (1) Hexamerins are insect storage proteins that are synthesized in the fat body
 - (2) Utilized as an amino acid reserve during non-feeding periods of insect development or diapause
 - (3)
- g) Additional studies
 - i) Looked at comparison of feeding rate in larvae
 - ii) Looked at blood feeding behaviors
 - (1) Landing - takes a long time
 - (2) Exploration - takes a short time
 - (3) Probing - quick
 - (4) Feeding - takes a long time to start
- h) Blood feeding behaviors are changing over time with the natural populations
 - i) More fed
 - ii) Success rate increased
 - iii) Rate of depletion of hexamerins are increasing
 - iv) Why
 - (1) Environmental changes
 - (a) Temperature - increased in study area
 - (b) Precipitation - decreased in study area

- (2) Long-term change
 - (3) Leads to greater competition between larvae in habitat
- i) Other studies - Bradshaw & Holzapfel, <http://www.uoregon.edu/~mosquito/>
- j) Work is ongoing
- 4) INDUSTRY SPOTLIGHT
 - a) Clarke Mosquito Control - Mike Leahy
 - i) Partner with Central Life and Valent
 - ii) Clarke pesticides
 - iii) Equipment
 - iv) Emergency control
 - v) NEW PRODUCT - Natular
 - b) Electronic Data Solutions - Mike Swan
 - i) Sentinel GIS software - filed data collection
 - ii) Sell through B&G
 - iii) Rep all GIS equipment needed
 - iv) Business partner with ESRI
 - v) Provide technological solutions to aid mosquito control
 - vi) Webinars www.elecdata.com
 - c) Southern Helicopter Leasing - Cliff McGowan
 - i) 4 helicopters
 - ii) 2 additional pilots
 - iii) Available for emergency control
 - iv) Available for aerial control as part of your IMM program
- 5) The *Anopheles quadrimaculatus* Species Complex in Southeast Georgia - Andrew Bigham
 - a) Primary study site - Bulloch County
 - b) Main malaria vector in Eastern US
(www.cdc.gov/malaria/features/refugees.htm)
 - c) Mosquito
 - i) *An quadrimaculatus* found to not be a single species
 - ii) 5 distinct sibling species “found” in 1997
 - iii) Subtle habitat differences found for each member of the complex
 - iv) Lack of quality data concerning ranges
 - v) Lack of data on population change
 - d) Project
 - i) Collecting at 4 different sites
 - (1) Bridges
 - (2) Single drainage sites
 - ii) Collect from each side of bridge
 - iii) Backpack aspirator
 - iv) Separate *Anopheles* spp from other genera
 - v) Process *An quadrimaculatus* complex
 - e) Processing quads
 - i) Looking for good identifying characteristics
 - ii) Running into some difficulties
 - iii) Current identifying characteristics are hard to use

- f) Goals
 - i) Population ranges
 - ii) Changes in populations
- g) Looking into doing genetic/molecular species differentiation
- 6) Honey Bee Colony Collapse Update - Jennifer Berry
 - a) www.ent.uga.edu/bees
 - b) Background
 - i) 2006-2007
 - ii) Large commercial beekeepers seeing massive die-offs in colonies
 - iii) Symptoms
 - (1) Sudden loss of adults - bees were leaving hive and not returning
 - (2) Queen, small cluster of newly emerged bees, and broods remain
 - (3) Honey not robbed out
 - (a) Weak colonies
 - (b) Usually neighboring hives will rob out honey
 - (4) No secondary pests, which usually move into weak hives
 - iv) Initial reports from PA and FL
 - v) CCD now found from coast to coast
 - c) Response
 - i) Looked for reasons
 - (1) Out of beekeeper control
 - (a) Environmental pesticides
 - (b) Exotic pathogens
 - (c) Mite-associated viruses
 - (d) Parasitic mites
 - (e) Shrinking forages
 - (2) Within beekeeper control
 - (a) In-hive pesticides
 - (b) Migratory stress
 - (c) Nutrition
 - (d) Decrease in genetic diversity
 - (e) Management
 - ii) No consistency found
 - d) Issues
 - i) Commercially sold wax contains miticides
 - ii) Introduced varroa mite
 - iii) *Nosema* spp disease
 - iv) Other new diseases and pests
 - v) Bees shipped from coast to coast for pollination of commercial crops
 - (1) Necessary for agriculture
 - (2) Loss of bees would lead to a big change in our diets
 - vi) Pesticide applications
 - (1) Spray in late evening when bees have ceased foraging -
 - (a) Mosquito control does this already
 - (b) Bees usually back I hive ~1 hour before sunset
 - (2) Do not contaminate blooms

- (3) Avoid unintentional drift
- (4) Use pesticide formulations that are listed a low hazard to bees
- (5) Avoid dusts and wettable powders
- (6) More an agriculture problem than a mosquito control one
- vii) Bottom Line -
 - (1) Final answer to what CCD really is still unknown
 - (2) Probably is a combination of problems
 - (3) UGA has a grant to study this issue

BUSINESS MEETING

- 1) Secretary-Treasurers Report
 - a) \$14896 before event
 - b) Holding stable
 - c) Backup funds available
- 2) Board
 - a) President: Candace Royals
 - b) Vice-President: Bobby Moulis
 - c) Secretary-Treasurer: Robert Seamans
 - d) Members
 - i) 1-year: Ben Brewer
 - ii) 2-year: Shawn Taylor
 - iii) 3-year: Fred Koehle
 - e) Sustaining Member Rep: David Sykes
 - f) Extension Rep: Elmer Gray
 - g) Public Health Rep: Rosmarie Kelly
- 3) Website - www.GAmosquito.org
- 4) Thanks to our sustaining members
- 5) CWA issues
 - a) Write letters
 - b) Contact your legislator
- 6) PLEASE BECOME A MEMBER - pay your dues
- 7) 2010 meeting: Oct 20-22 in Athens