

**The 34th Annual Meeting of the  
Georgia Mosquito Control Association  
October 19-21, 2011**

**Wednesday, October 19, 2011**

- 1) AMCA Washington Day – Henry Lewandowski
  - a) Well organized by AMCA
    - i) Educate participants about approaching the representatives
    - ii) Educate participants about the issues
  - b) Purpose
    - i) Educate ourselves concerning legislative issues
    - ii) Speak to our elected representatives
  - c) Legislative issues
    - i) CWA/NPDES (EPA)
    - ii) ELC funding for national disease surveillance
    - iii) Endangered Species Act and mosquito control
    - iv) Food Quality Protection Act appropriations
      - (1) Funding for data collection
      - (2) Registration and re-registration of pesticides
    - v) Mosquito control on wildlife refuges (USFWS) – rumor has it everything that has been worked on for the last 10 years is going to be scrapped
  - d) Need to know who the key people are for the various committees and sub-committees
  - e) Will likely talk to staffers instead of representatives
    - i) Can actually be a good thing
    - ii) Staffers can be subject matter experts
  - f) Making appointments is key
    - i) Start early
    - ii) Need good planning to get to the meetings on time
    - iii) House side is separate from the Senate side
    - iv) 3 House buildings
  - g) What did we accomplish
    - i) Two representatives voted against HR 872
      - (1) Not a political decision
      - (2) There was a lack of understanding of the subject
      - (3) Both agreed to reconsider the issue if it can back to the House for another vote
    - ii) Explained the importance of continuing funding for the ELC
  - h) Follow-up is essential
    - i) Summary
      - i) There was a lack of factual info on the issues
      - ii) Continued uncertainty about HR 872 in the Senate
- 2) Timely Tips for Dealing with Your Boss – Stanton Cope
  - a) What is a boss? Amongst other things, the person in charge
    - i) Attitude – good or bad

- ii) Management skills
- iii) Personality
- iv) Big picture or small???
- v) Decisive or indecisive??
- vi) Bored or interested
- b) Key points
  - i) If it interests your boss, it should fascinate you
    - (1) Bosses are busy and have a lot to remember
    - (2) Get info and package it
      - (a) Digestible
      - (b) Useable
      - (c) Helpful
    - (3) May need to remind the boss
    - (4) Listen but wait to react
      - (a) 1<sup>st</sup> time - Listen and file away
      - (b) 2<sup>nd</sup> time – “I am still looking into that”
      - (c) 3<sup>rd</sup> time – better be ready
  - ii) Learn the personality of your boss
    - (1) Figure this out early on
    - (2) What will the boss tolerate and for how long
    - (3) What does the boss like
    - (4) What does the boss not like
    - (5) What will cause the shit to hit the fan
    - (6) When do you have the boss’ attention
    - (7) ASK – a good boss will share
  - iii) Keep the boss informed
    - (1) Immediate knowledge
      - (a) Some things can wait
      - (b) Some things can not wait at all
    - (2) Bottom Line Up Front (summarize)
    - (3) News
      - (a) Good
      - (b) Bad
        - (i) Make it timely
        - (ii) Plan
    - (4) Pick your method of communication
      - (a) Personal
      - (b) Written record
    - (5) 10% “belly up”
      - (a) Bad things will happen
      - (b) Be ready
  - iv) Timeliness of info exchange
    - (1) Don’t put your boss on the spot
    - (2) Tell only those who need to be told
      - (a) Don’t conduct business in the hallway
      - (b) Don’t talk in front of others who don’t need to know
    - (3) NO SURPRISES

- (4) There are good times and bad times to pass on information
- (5) Some bosses remember nothing
- (6) Put critical info/decisions in writing
- v) Consistency
  - (1) Be consistent on all issues
  - (2) Do not cry wolf
    - (a) Not everything is a crisis
    - (b) Not everything needs to be done right now
    - (c) Leads to a loss of credibility
    - (d) Try to remain calm
  - (3) Prioritize
  - (4) Tell your boss if a decision is needed and when
- vi) Three white eggs
  - (1) Listen carefully
    - (a) What is being asked
    - (b) Ask for clarification if needed
  - (2) Don't think you know what is really needed
  - (3) Provide what is asked for the first time
  - (4) Deliver it in a timely manner
  - (5) Do not cry over broken eggs
    - (a) Even if you give the boss exactly what is asked for, it may not be accepted
    - (b) Sometimes things change
    - (c) Just move on
- c) There are different ways to get to a good relationship with your boss
  - i) Keep trying
  - ii) It will make your life easier
  - iii) The path goes both ways
- 3) Industry Spotlight
  - a) UNIVAR
    - i) Julie Fogg and Dan Gibbous
    - ii) Full vector control product distributor
    - iii) Also provide control for other pests
  - b) Gregory Pest Control
    - i) Phil Hall – area regional manager
    - ii) Full service pest control
    - iii) 5 state area of coverage
    - iv) Bed bugs - canine scent detection dogs
  - c) AllPro Vector Group
    - i) David Sykes
    - ii) Premier formulators
      - (1) In the process of developing some new granular products
      - (2) Should be introduced by Spring 2012
    - iii) Packagers of products
    - iv) Temophos
      - (1) Granular and liquid
      - (2) Good for mosquito control in tires

- v) Several other products available
- 4) The Effects of Permethrin Barrier Treatments on Non-target Arthropods – Ryan Bare
  - a) Work in progress
  - b) The introduction of WNV created a need for home-based mosquito control
  - c) More jobs for pest management professionals
    - i) Larvicides
    - ii) Habitat assessment
    - iii) Automatic misting systems
    - iv) Barrier treatments
  - d) Barrier treatments effective against
    - i) Mosquitoes
    - ii) Biting midges
    - iii) Sand flies
  - e) Growing public concern
    - i) Desire for a “green” approach
    - ii) Could using insecticides be more harmful than the diseases they are attempting to control?
    - iii) Investigations
      - (1) Honey bees (Hester et al 2001)
      - (2) Crickets (Tietze et al 1996)
      - (3) Aquatic insects
  - f) Study purpose
    - i) Ecological study showed no decrease in diversity after ULV application (Davis & Peterson)
    - ii) Study replicates this ecological study using barrier spray
    - iii) Bifenthrin
  - g) Questions
    - i) Effects of bifenthrin on non-target arthropods
    - ii) Immediate and long-term effects
    - iii) Some isolated species studies
      - (1) Lady bugs in an enclosure
      - (2) Sprayed vegetation then put in a know number of lady bugs
  - h) Site
    - i) Lowndes County Georgia
    - ii) 20 x 20 meter test plots
    - iii) 2 sets of 4 sites each
    - iv) Habitat different in each area
    - v) Corners marked with flags
    - vi) 10 meters apart
    - vii) Data collection
      - (1) Pit fall traps at corners
      - (2) CO<sub>2</sub> light trap in center
      - (3) Sweep nets used once a week
  - i) Dilutions and site treated were double-blinded
    - i) Recommended label rate or water
    - ii) Treated 3 times

- iii) Sampling occurred 3 times after each treatment at 7 day intervals
  - j) Results
    - i) Diversity index – measure the local members of a set consisting of various types of objects
      - (1) The higher the number, the lower the diversity
      - (2) Group trends
        - (a) A lost diversity over time
        - (b) B showing a similar trend but not as pronounced
    - ii) Richness
      - (1) The number of species present in an ecosystem
      - (2) Decreases in both groups
    - iii) Evenness
      - (1) Relative abundance or proportion of individuals among the species
      - (2) Shows changes in community structure
    - iv) Formulas for these can be found at  
<http://www.countrysideinfo.co.uk/simpsons.htm>
- 5) The Flea Fauna of Georgia (USA) – Lance Durden
  - a) Siphonaptera
  - b) Feed primarily on mammals or birds
  - c) Importance
    - i) Some fleas are vectors
    - ii) Zoonotic pathogens
      - (1) *Rickettsia typhi* – murine typhus
      - (2) *R felis* - flea-borne spotted fever
      - (3) *R prowazekii* – found in flying squirrels
      - (4) *Bartonella quintana* – cat scratch fever
    - iii) Intermediate hosts of rodent and canine tapeworms
    - iv) Nuisance biters
    - v) Flea bite dermatitis – allergic reaction
  - d) Pathogen transmission or ecological importance for fleas parasitizing wild animals is largely unknown
  - e) Data
    - i) Numerous flea surveys done in GA
    - ii) Studies associated with typhus
    - iii) Currently flea trapping is occurring
    - iv) There is an endemic flea in GA
      - (1) Collected once in 1954
      - (2) Found in north GA at Brasstown Bald at >4700'
      - (3) Collected on a northern short-tail shrew
      - (4) *Nearctopsylla georgiana* Pratt & Harrison 1954
    - v) Entomology class collections
    - vi) Many other varied sources
  - f) Results
    - i) 26 species recorded
    - ii) Families
      - (1) Pulicidae – 8 species
      - (2) Rhopalopsyllidae – 1 species

- (3) Ctenophthalmidae – 7 species
- (4) Ceratophyllidae – 5 species
- (5) Leptopsyllidae – 4 species
- (6) Ischnopsyllidae – 1 species
- iii) 6 new species records for Georgia
- iv) Some are very species specific
- v) One species (western rabbit flea) was brought in on rabbits trapped in the southwest and released
- vi) Very few bird fleas in Georgia
- g) Cat fleas are extremely common in Georgia
- h) Oriental rat flea
  - i) Pre-1958: very wide spread
  - ii) Post-1958: one sample found
  - iii) Probably less common than originally but more common than current records indicate
- i) Rat fleas in general seem to have declined, but no one is actually collecting from rats currently
- j) Conclusions
  - i) At least 25 flea species are native to Georgia
  - ii) 5 new species were recorded
  - iii) Rat fleas appear to be rare
    - (1) Abundant until the 1940s
    - (2) Murine typhus control may have reduced the numbers of these fleas
  - iv) Cat, squirrel, and rabbit fleas are common and wide-spread
  - v) Dog fleas are common in some localities
  - vi) *Pulex* spp primarily occur on carnivores
  - vii) Some fleas are more common in either north or south GA
  - viii) The chicken (sticktight) flea will actually feed on almost anything
  - ix) The Rickettsia and Bartonella pathogens have all been found in GA
- k) Paper in press
- 6) Assessing the role of long lived reptiles in the ecology of EEE virus – Bill Irby
  - a) Reptiles are important as a blood source for mosquitoes
  - b) EEE cycle
    - i) Enzootic vector – *Culiseta melanura*
    - ii) Host - birds
    - iii) Epizootic vector – a variety of species
  - c) There are a lot of unanswered questions
    - i) Overwintering??
    - ii) Bridge vectors
  - d) Background
    - i) Mosquitoes do feed on both birds and reptiles
    - ii) *Culex erraticus* has some characteristics that make it of interest
      - (1) Feed equally on a wide variety of hosts
      - (2) Abundant
      - (3) Found at the right time to be involved in EEE transmission
  - e) Study
    - i) Gopher tortoise holes implicated as an overwintering site for *Culex erraticus*

- ii) Sampled mosquitoes from the burrow
  - (1) A variety of different species
  - (2) *Culex erraticus* were the most abundant mosquito species
    - (a) Overwintering site
    - (b) Some were feeding on the turtles
  - (3) Fungus gnats were also extremely abundant
- f) Second study at Ft Stewart in 2000-2002 looked at EEE and other parasites of gopher tortoises
  - i) Blood samples
    - (1) Blood-borne parasites
    - (2) Viruses
    - (3) Ectoparasites
    - (4) Intestinal parasites
  - ii) Results – parasite load
    - (1) Gopher tortoise tick
    - (2) Nematode in the family Trichostrongylidae
    - (3) Healthier turtles had lower parasite loads
    - (4) No EEE found
  - iii) Tortoise appears not to be implicated in EEE transmission
- g) Study done by Cupp et al pointed at the water moccasin as a blood source for *Culex erraticus*
  - i) 2010 -2011: collected mosquitoes at EEE horse case sites
  - ii) Looked for snakes to sample – a bit scary
  - iii) Sampling and testing continues
- 7) The story of Clara Maas – Stanton Cope
  - a) Background
    - i) Yellow fever was endemic in Georgia at one time
      - (1) Major outbreaks still occur in other parts of the world
      - (2) Primary vector – *Aedes aegypti*
  - b) Water moats were used to keep ants off the beds of yellow fever patients
    - i) This provided great sites for *Ae aegypti* to lay eggs
    - ii) Kept the virus circulating in the area
  - c) History
    - i) Hypothesis that insect bites could transmit disease
    - ii) Dr Carlos Juan Finlay – yellow fever and mosquitoes
    - iii) Patrick Mason
      - (1) Found *Wuchereria masonia* in mosquitoes
      - (2) Thought the worm fell into water and was ingested
    - iv) Sir David Bruce – animal African trypanosomiasis
    - v) Sir Ronald Ross – malaria parasites in Anopheles
    - vi) Walter Reed
      - (1) The Etiology of Yellow Fever, 1900
      - (2) Yellow fever virus was spread only by mosquito bites
      - (3) <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2329228/>
      - (4) Used human volunteers
    - vii) This study led to controlling of mosquitoes in Cuba
  - d) Success....then tragedy

- i) Second series of experiments was done in Cuba
- ii) Dr Juan Guiteras didn't feel that mosquito control was enough
- iii) Immunized people against yellow fever by allowing mosquitoes to feed on a patient with mild yellow fever then allowing them to feed on naïve people
- iv) 19 volunteers – 3 died
- e) Clara Maass – an American Heroine
  - i) Born 28 June 1876
  - ii) East Orange, NJ
  - iii) Left school at age 15
  - iv) Nursing school – Newark German Hospital
    - (1) Graduated in 1895
    - (2) 1898 – head nurse (age 21)
  - v) Served as a contract nurse for Army (Spanish-American War)– discharged in Feb 1899
    - (1) Jacksonville, FL
    - (2) Savannah, GA
    - (3) Santiago, Cuba
  - vi) Nov 1899 – served in the Philippines
  - vii) Returned to Cuba in 1900
    - (1) Served in Dr Guiteras' experiment
      - (a) 19 participants
      - (b) Only woman and only American
      - (c) Received numerous bites in June, July, and Aug
      - (d) Last bitten 14 Aug 1901
      - (e) Became ill on 18 Aug
      - (f) Died 24 Aug at the age of 25
    - (2) Article on her death carried in many newspapers
    - (3) New York Journal, 26 Aug 1901
    - (4) All human experimentation was stopped
- f) Honoring Clara Maass
  - i) Postage stamps
    - (1) Cuba – 1951
    - (2) US – 1976
  - ii) Her mother was given Clara's pension
  - iii) Hospital where she was head nurse was renamed the Clara Maass General Hospital

### **Thursday, October 20, 2011 (Morning Session)**

- 1) Georgia Invasive Species – Ray Noblet
  - a) Not a new issue
  - b) Has become more serious recently
  - c) Invasive mosquito species traveled with people
    - i) *Aedes aegypti* – early European explorers
    - ii) *Culex coronator* – 2006
    - iii) *Ochlerotatus japonicus* – 2003
    - iv) *Aedes albopictus* – found in all Georgia counties by 1993



- d) Why are these important
    - i) Potential for nuisance issues
    - ii) Drive out other species that may be less of a problem
    - iii) Vector potential
    - iv) May be harder to control than native species
    - v) May need different trapping methods to determine population numbers
  - e) Other invasive species in GA
    - i) Insects
      - (1) Kudzu bug - *Megacoptera cribaria*
        - (a) First identified in GA in 2009
        - (b) Huge populations
        - (c) Soybean pest – this is going to be big problem
        - (d) Related to stink bugs – very odiferous
        - (e) Gather in large numbers on buildings looking for overwintering sites
        - (f) Serious urban pest
      - (2) Brown marmorated stinkbug
        - (a) Another agricultural pest
        - (b) Only been found in a couple of places in GA to date
      - (3) Soybean aphid
        - (a) Been in US awhile
        - (b) Recently found in Georgia
    - ii) Cogongrass
      - (1) Perennial grass
      - (2) Grows up to 6' tall
      - (3) Silky silvery flowers and seeds
      - (4) Very aggressive invader
      - (5) Spreads by rhizomes
      - (6) Forest Service will come and treat to remove this at no cost
      - (7) Primarily found in south GA with a concentration in SW Georgia
    - iii) Herps
      - (1) Burmese python
        - (a) Established in most of Florida
        - (b) Changing the ecology of areas where it is found
      - (2) Northern African python
        - (a) Aggressive
        - (b) Established in south Florida
      - (3) Black and white tegu
        - (a) All over Florida
        - (b) Fast growing, long lived lizard
      - (4) Nile monitor lizard
        - (a) All over Fl
        - (b) Egg predator
- 2) What Lurks in Yonder Forest (or swamp)? Why do we care? - Danny Mead
  - a) WNV and other arbovirus
    - i) Highest year before 2011 – 126 WNV+ mosquito pools
    - ii) This year – 396 WNV+ mosquito pools and counting
    - iii) June

- (1) Flanders was all we were seeing initially
  - (2) Then we got WNV, about a month early
  - (3) Lots of *Culex quinquefasciatus*
  - iv) Starting in July
    - (1) Lots of virus
    - (2) It never stopped
    - (3) We are still getting a few positive pools even with cooler temperatures
  - b) Why do we keep looking
    - i) WNV has been found in GA since 2001
    - ii) We pick up a number of other viruses as well
    - iii) Importance of looking
      - (1) Detect changes in transmission patterns of known viruses
      - (2) Important for mosquito control decision making
      - (3) Detect new or introduced viruses of public health or agriculture importance
        - (a) Dengue – >42 imported cases in GA
        - (b) Chikungunya – 2 imported cases
      - (4) Detect un-described viruses
        - (a) WellFleet Bay virus
        - (b) Durham virus
        - (c) *Culex* specific Flavivirus
      - (5) Detect changes in virus populations
        - (a) What is a virus?
          - (i) Virus is a piece of RNA or DNA surrounded by a protein coat
          - (ii) Replicate inside a cell
          - (iii) Can be very simple or more complex
        - (b) How do viruses change?
          - (i) Mutation
            - 1. Example – Chikungunya was transmitted by *Aedes aegypti*
            - 2. Single genomic mutation allowed for a new mosquito vector to become involved
            - 3. Huge outbreak occurred with *Aedes albopictus* as the vector
          - (ii) Recombination
            - 1. WEE and HJV are thought to be a recombination of EEE and a Sinbis-like virus
            - 2. Creates new viruses
          - (iii) Reassortment
            - 1. Happens with flu viruses
            - 2. Occurs in viruses with segmented genomes
            - 3. Happens in Orbiviruses
              - a. Cause hemorrhagic diseases
              - b. Transmitted by Culicoides
              - c. A whole new Orbivirus has been detected
              - d. Came about by reassortment
- 3) NPDES Mid-Range Program – Fred Koehle
  - a) Surveillance is the backbone of an IMM program
    - i) Visually check for areas that hold water

- ii) Look for breeding sites
  - b) Larvicide early
    - i) Had larvicide in the drains before the rain came
    - ii) One less thing to worry about at the start of the season
  - c) Mosquito population levels
    - i) Landing counts
    - ii) Trapping
    - iii) Public complaints
    - iv) History
  - d) Educational component for peridomestic mosquitoes
    - i) Programs
    - ii) Handouts
  - e) Mapping used for monitoring
    - i) Use the Augusta-Richmond County Real Estate Property search
    - ii) GIS map with aerial overlay
    - iii) Match sites with complaints
    - iv) Use tools to measure area and distance on the map
    - v) Determine what tools are available for mosquito control
      - (1) Can you spray?
        - (a) Need to be outside of 300' from a body of water
        - (b) Drift buffer
      - (2) May just be able to larvicide
  - f) Action thresholds
    - i) Larval dips – one per dip
    - ii) Complaints
    - iii) Landing counts – 5 per minute
    - iv) Traps – 10 mosquitoes in the trap
  - g) Source reduction
    - i) Surveillance checklist
      - (1) Remove egg laying sites
      - (2) Complaint driven site inspections
    - ii) Maintain contact with county agency responsible for ditches and impoundments
    - iii) Maintain familiarity with health nuisance abatement policy
  - h) Chemical control
    - i) The label is the law
    - ii) Surveillance-based
    - iii) Target species must be active
      - (1) Each tech handles about 4 complaints a night
      - (2) 4 days a week
    - iv) Collect wind speed and direction data
    - v) No longer run spray routes
    - vi) Machines must be calibrated
  - i) Record keeping
    - i) Of vital importance to running an efficient program
    - ii) Needed for NPDES
- 4) Albert Freeman Africanus King, MD Scientist/ Iconoclast - Joe Conlon

- a) Father of Integrated Mosquito Management
- b) Published in a paper 15 years before Ronald Ross that mosquitoes transmitted malaria
- c) Who was he?
  - i) Born in England on January 18, 1841
  - ii) Columbian College of Medicine -1861
  - iii) Very educated man
  - iv) Lived in Washington DC
  - v) Cared for Confederate wounded at Bull Run and Union soldiers in Washington
- d) What is he know for?
  - i) Second physician on scene when Lincoln was shot
  - ii) Wrote A Manual of Obstetrics in 1886 – used up until the 1930s
  - iii) Noted lecturer
- e) Why do we care about him?
  - i) John Crawford had published earlier about insects and their possible involvement in disease transmission
  - ii) Published a paper in 1882 about mosquitoes and malaria
    - (1) Popular Science Monthly
    - (2) Insects and Disease-Mosquitoes and Malaria
  - iii) King's method of malaria control was to encircle the city with a wire screen as high as the Washington Monument
  - iv) Germ theory had just been accepted in the 1880s
  - v) 19 postulates for why malaria is not caused by a miasma
    - (1) Malaria found in wet areas, mosquitoes are the same
    - (2) Temperatures for development are the same for mosquitoes and malaria
    - (3) Affinity for dense foliage
    - (4) Both found in higher abundance in tropical areas
    - (5) Atmospheric currents transmit both
    - (6) Controlling mosquitoes controls malaria
    - (7) Creating mosquito breeding sites leads to malaria
    - (8) Malaria is most dangerous at the same time that mosquitoes are active, at night
    - (9) Exposure occurs while sleeping outside at night
    - (10) Malarial diseases occur when mosquitoes are most numerous
    - (11) Anything that keeps mosquitoes out prevents malaria
  - vi) Malaria prevention
    - (1) IMM-based
    - (2) Personal protection
      - (a) Physical barriers
      - (b) Repellents
    - (3) Domiciliary protection
      - (a) Exterior barriers
      - (b) Interior “pesticides”
    - (4) Municipal protection
      - (a) Source reduction
      - (b) Planting of forests

- (c) Use of exterior lights
  - (5) A man of ideas – did not test his theories
    - (a) Used inductive logic
    - (b) Published a treatment of malaria using UV light
    - (c) Thought that dark-skinned people were protected from malaria because mosquitoes couldn't see them in the dark
  - (6) Had some crazy ideas – was quite a character
  - (7) Quote “What product of man’s art has not been anticipated by nature?”
  - (8) Died in 1914
- 5) Industry Spotlight
- a) Clarke Mosquito
    - i) Joe Strickhouser
    - ii) Full service mosquito control
    - iii) Contract with Fulton County – Erica Wyatt
  - b) Bayer Scientific
    - i) Don Bodkin
    - ii) Scourge
      - (1) Voluntarily withdrawn the dropping of the product
      - (2) Will continue to provide this product
    - iii) Distribute through ADAPCO
    - iv) Have been all adulticide
    - v) 2012 – fast Bti
  - c) Central Life Sciences
    - i) Charlie Pate
    - ii) Some changes
      - (1) XR Briquettes will be white –
        - (a) Silica based
        - (b) Packaging changes to reduce breakage
      - (2) Zenivex –
        - (a) Adulticide
        - (b) Working on crop tolerance label change
      - (3) Rebate program expansion
- 6) Urban Eco-Epidemiology of West Nile Virus in Atlanta Georgia – Rebecca Levine
- a) Where is it found
    - i) WNV is endemic in the US
    - ii) Urban disease in eastern and mid-western US
    - iii) More rural in the western US
  - b) Not all urban areas with intensive insect and animal infection see corresponding human disease
  - c) Chicago
    - i) Illinois 2002-2010
      - (1) 1652 human cases
      - (2) Some risk factors determined
    - ii) Atlanta
      - (1) Far fewer cases
      - (2) Need to figure out why
    - iii) Study

- (1) Does the high percent of tree cover and / or height of canopy constrain the WNV epidemic?
  - (2) Does the high diversity of avian species in Atlanta contribute to a WNV dilution effect?
    - (a) Diversity = disease dilution
    - (b) Length of time species are present
- iv) Sites
- (1) Zoo Atlanta
  - (2) Old Growth Forests
    - (a) Fernbank Forest
    - (b) Wesley Woods Preserve
  - (3) Parks
    - (a) Grant Park
    - (b) Piedmont Park
- v) Why were these sites chosen?
- (1) Human traffic
  - (2) Water features
  - (3) Open fields
  - (4) Wooded areas
  - (5) CSOs in vicinity
- vi) Sampling
- (1) What is sampled
    - (a) Birds
      - (i) Counts
      - (ii) Species
      - (iii) Blood samples
    - (b) Mosquitoes
    - (c) Zoo animals
  - (2) Use of fine-resolution imagery
- vii) Results – 2010
- (1) Avian
    - (a) 141 birds
    - (b) 13 species
    - (c) 48 out of 141 samples were WNV+ (34%)
      - (i) Higher than in Chicago
      - (ii) Primarily cardinals that were positive
  - (2) Mosquitoes
    - (a) 21784 female mosquitoes caught
    - (b) Primarily *Culex* – these are targeted
    - (c) Testing
      - (i) 49 WNV+ *Culex*
      - (ii) 0 WNV+ *Aedes*
    - (d) Blood meal analysis also done
    - (e) 2 week lag time in infection between mosquitoes and birds
  - (3) Habitat type
    - (a) Seropositivity in birds is higher in disturbed sites
    - (b) This is not found in mosquitoes

- (4) What about the zoo?
  - (a) 2000 – WNV+ birds found from blood samples
  - (b) This was prior to WNV being “found” in Georgia
  - (c) This bird was probably infected in the fall of 1999
- d) Conclusions
  - i) Importance of various bird species
  - ii) Transmission peaks in August
  - iii) Differences in transmission rates by habitat in birds but not mosquitoes
  - iv) WNV was likely here in 1999
- e) Lots still to be done
- 7) La Crosse Encephalitis in Eastern Tennessee – Abelardo Moncayo
  - a) Serious disease
    - i) Most important pediatric encephalitis in the US
    - ii) Case fatality rate < 1%
    - iii) Lots of cognitive and behavioral issues associated with infection
    - iv) Most cases in children under 16 years of age
  - b) Transmission cycle
    - i) Host – small mammals
    - ii) Vector – *Ochlerotatus triseriatus*
  - c) High number of cases in east TN
  - d) Emergence in TN
    - i) From 1964-1996, only 9 cases reported
    - ii) 1997 – cluster of 10 cases
    - iii) Cases continued to increase
    - iv) Currently see 15-20 cases a year
  - e) Vectors of primary concern
    - i) *Oc triseriatus*
    - ii) *Ae albopictus*
    - iii) *Oc japonicus*
  - f) Hypothesis
    - i) Differences in mosquito abundances at case sites
    - ii) Differences in infection rates at case sites
    - iii) Differences in blood meal composition at case sites
  - g) Looked at trapping protocols
  - h) Study sites
    - i) Case reports were obtained from 2004-2009
    - ii) Cases were mapped and clusters were identified
    - iii) Calls were made to households
    - iv) Enrolled 6 households representing 5 cases
  - i) Methods
    - i) Ovicup collections
      - (1) Collected eggs in ovicup
      - (2) Reared larvae
      - (3) Collect and identify adults
    - ii) Larval collections
    - iii) Adult collections
      - (1) BG Sentinel traps

- (2) Aspirators – Prokopac
- j) Testing
  - i) Cell culture
  - ii) RT-PCR (looked for 24 different viruses)
- k) Results
  - i) Ovicups collected the most mosquitoes but it is very labor intensive
  - ii) Larval collections contained all species of interest
  - iii) *Oc triseriatus*
    - (1) Best results from ovicups
    - (2) These were positive eggs
    - (3) Infected reared adults collected from June through August
    - (4) Hard to determine how many infected females this represents
  - iv) *Oc japonicus*
    - (1) Probably first record of LACv-infection
    - (2) Earlier in season
  - v) *Ae albopictus* – a number were found positive
- l) Conclusions
  - i) Trap-dependent abundances seen
  - ii) More work needs to be done

#### **Thursday, October 20, 2011 (Afternoon Session)**

- 1) WNV Transmission in CSOs – Recent Findings and Future – Gonzalo Vazquez-Prokopec
  - a) <http://www.envs.emory.edu/faculty/prokopec.html>
  - b) This work will be published next year
- 2) Black Fly Control and Whooping Cranes – Elmer Gray
  - a) Whooping crane are a critically endangered species
    - i) Tallest flying bird in NA
    - ii) Wingspan of 7-8 feet
    - iii) Weight is 14-17 lbs
    - iv) Omnivorous
    - v) Young birds are cinnamon color; adults are white
    - vi) Affected by hunting and habitat loss and conversion
  - b) Whooping Crane Eastern Partnership
    - i) Established in 1999
    - ii) US FWS
    - iii) International Crane Foundation
    - iv) Others
    - v) Purpose – to build Eastern populations
  - c) Operation Migration
  - d) Reintroduction Project
    - i) Started in 2001
    - ii) First year survival was acceptable
    - iii) Nest desertion became a problem
      - (1) Nesting began in 2005
      - (2) 2005-2010: no successful first time nests



- (3) Patterns of desertion were evident
- (4) Urbanek et al – 17 nests studied
- (5) Dates of desertion was related to degree days above freezing
- (6) Predation and water level were ruled out as reasons
- (7) Could it be black fly?
  - (a) Thousands of black fly can be found at nest site on eggs
  - (b) Many are found feeding on adult crane fly
- iv) Black Fly
  - (1) Small blood-feeding flies
  - (2) Larvae develop in running water
  - (3) Pupate near larval sites
- v) Surveillance & control
  - (1) Conducted on refuge in 2009
  - (2) Sampled around refuge in 2010; pilot larviciding application conducted
    - (a) Issues with application of pesticides on refuges
    - (b) Larviciding has caused a big outcry even with treatment not being done on the refuge
  - (3) Suppression program initiated and evaluated in 2011
- vi) Initial focus
  - (1) Bird feeding black fly *Simulium annulus*
    - (a) Adult flies emerge in spring
    - (b) Eggs laid in spring but remain dormant until fall
    - (c) Pupate in spring
    - (d) Flight range is about 6 miles
  - (2) Found in Yellow River near refuge
    - (a) Flows in 2011 were at record highs
    - (b) Had been at record lows in 2010
- vii) Goal 2011
  - (1) Evaluate effect of eliminating 95% of black fly
  - (2) Treatment
    - (a) Water temp was 1-2 degrees C
    - (b) Flow rates were high
    - (c) Bti was used for treatment
    - (d) Treatment protocol
      - (i) Treat
      - (ii) Collect at various distances from treatment site
      - (iii) Bring to the lab
      - (iv) Check for mortality
- viii) Results 2011
  - (1) Got about 85% suppression of black fly populations
  - (2) Saw an increase in nesting success in the area
  - (3) Project will continue in 2012
- 3) Industry Spotlight
  - a) Southern Helicopter Leasing - Cliff McGowan
  - b) ADAPCO – Trey English
- 4) Horsefly and Deerfly - Ian Brown
  - a) Genera

- i) Chrysops - 83
- ii) Tabanus - 107
- iii) Hybomitra – 55 species
- b) Implicated in mechanical transmission of various diseases
- c) Biological transmission
  - i) *Trypanosomes*
  - ii) *Loa loa*
- d) Bites cause stress in livestock and wild animals
- e) People
  - i) Tourism and recreation
  - ii) Agriculture
- f) Life cycle
  - i) Eggs laid on vegetation over water
    - (1) Laid in clusters of 100-1000
    - (2) Prefer a vertical substrate
    - (3) Hatch in 2-14 days
    - (4) Originally white but turn dark in several hours
  - ii) Hatch and drop into muck at water's edge
    - (1) Spindle-shaped larvae, pointed at ends
    - (2) Blade-like mandibles
    - (3) Rings of prolegs behind head
    - (4) Can be up to 2.5" in length
    - (5) Develop in either an aquatic habitat or in moist soil
      - (a) Deer fly – always aquatic
      - (b) Horse fly vary – just need a moist habitat
    - (6) Respiratory siphon
    - (7) 4-9 larval instars
  - iii) Pupate in dry soil near water's edge – overwintering state
    - (1) Pupal aster at one end
    - (2) Prominent eye and wing buds
    - (3) Spines on posterior abdominal segments
  - iv) Emergence in late spring
    - (1) Behavior
      - (a) Find a sugar meal
      - (b) Mate
      - (c) Take a blood meal
    - (2) Lifespan – up to 60 days
    - (3) Cutting-sponging mouthparts
    - (4) Can feed for 3-4 minutes
- g) Some tabanids of importance in Georgia
  - i) Yellow fly – *Diachlorus ferrugatus*
    - (1) Peak season is April through June
    - (2) Eggs hatch in 5-12 days
    - (3) Prefer shade
    - (4) Anautogenous – must have a blood meal to develop eggs
    - (5) Fierce biters
    - (6) Peak activity is late afternoon, esp when it is overcast

- (7) Will enter houses to bite
- ii) Saltmarsh greenhead fly – *Tabanus nigrovittatus*
  - (1) Found from April- Sept with a peak in July
  - (2) Facultatively autogenous – can lay a batch of eggs before having a blood meal
  - (3) Prefer to fly through gaps in vegetation
  - (4) Fierce biters
- h) General behavior
  - i) Long range cue is CO<sub>2</sub>
  - ii) Short range cues
    - (1) Motion
    - (2) Size
    - (3) Shape
    - (4) Dark colors
  - iii) Almost all are daytime feeders
  - iv) Host range
    - (1) Deer fly –
      - (a) Many different types of animals
      - (b) Prefer moving targets
    - (2) Horse fly – large stationary mammals
  - v) Males
    - (1) Feed on nectar
    - (2) Territorial
    - (3) Hover to attract females
- i) Trapping
  - i) Traps work by exploiting the behaviors of the target insect
  - ii) No universal fly trap
  - iii) Black sphere trap
    - (1) Good for deer and stable flies (Muscidae)
    - (2) Dark sphere acts as an attractant
    - (3) Cover sphere with tanglefoot to capture flies
  - iv) EPPS Biting Fly Barrier trap
    - (1) Many flies circle before landing
    - (2) Fly circles and tries to fly through center of trap
    - (3) Flies hit clear deflector panels
    - (4) Fall into soapy water
  - v) Manitoba Canopy trap
    - (1) Uses a sphere and a conical canopy
    - (2) Things that affect species caught
      - (a) Height off ground
      - (b) Color of sphere
      - (c) Material used in canopy
  - vi) Box trap
    - (1) Blue or black painted box with open bottom and clear or screened top
    - (2) Mimics a large animal
    - (3) Flies try to land at the bottom of trap then fly up and are trapped in box
    - (4) Octenol is often used as an attractant

- vii) Nzi trap
  - (1) [www.nzitrap.com](http://www.nzitrap.com)
  - (2) Primarily for tsetse control
  - (3) Also works for tabanids and stable flies
- viii) Stick patches for deer fly
  - (1) <http://www.instructables.com/id/Deer-Fly-Traps/>
  - (2) <http://www.deerflypatches.com/>
- ix) Stable flies are attracted to corrugated cardboard
- 5) Return of WNV – Jeff Heusel
  - a) No WNV had been detected in Chatham County since 2007
  - b) In 2011
    - i) Earliest detection – June 20
    - ii) Highest total positive pools – 214
    - iii) NOT the most mosquitoes sent in for testing
    - iv) Highest percentage positive pools – 5.6%
    - v) Same number of human cases as 2003 – 9
  - c) History of WNV surveillance efforts
    - i) Dead bird data –
      - (1) Originally a good indicator of activity
      - (2) Used to determine surveillance areas
      - (3) Decreased in importance
        - (a) Lack of reporting??
        - (b) Immunity in birds??
        - (c) Mutation in virus??
    - ii) Sentinel program
      - (1) Early use of sentinel chickens
      - (2) Determined not to be effective
    - iii) Larval surveys
      - (1) Identified potential WNV vector breeding sites
      - (2) Targeted *Culex* spp
      - (3) Changed a lot of what was done in the program
    - iv) Light traps
      - (1) Used initially
      - (2) Not likely to detect virus
        - (a) Not great for *Culex* surveillance
        - (b) Most mosquitoes are not blood fed
    - v) Gravid traps
      - (1) 26 locations for gravid trapping
      - (2) Trapped on a weekly basis
      - (3) Attract blood fed *Culex* spp
    - vi) Mosquito ID and testing
      - (1) One of the most important tools for WNV surveillance
      - (2) Originally confirmed the primary WNV vector
      - (3) Forecasts areas of risk
      - (4) Helps to prioritize spray missions
  - d) Summary of past and current WNV activity
    - i) Virus first detected in mosquito pools in 2002

- ii) Banner year was 2003
  - iii) Spotty activity after 2004
  - iv) No viral positive mosquitoes detected after 2007
  - v) Activity started earlier than usual and has continued
  - vi) Defined WNV areas within the county
  - e) Action Plan
    - i) Larviciding
      - (1) Treatment thresholds
        - (a) Number of quincs per trap
        - (b) Any current WNV activity in county
        - (c) Trap location in relation to the WNV activity
      - (2) Hot Zone
        - (a) Old part of Savannah where old infrastructure occurs
        - (b) Area where catch basins are treated – 12,000 treated every 30 days
        - (c) Alternate Altosid and Bs
        - (d) 2011 – began treating with both products
    - ii) Adulticiding
      - (1) Primarily by helicopter just prior to sunset
      - (2) Pesticide – naled
      - (3) Resistance issues with local quincs vs malathion and many pyrethroids
      - (4) Current thresholds
        - (a) >300 – quincs in trap
        - (b) >200 – quincs in trap; WNV activity in county
        - (c) >100 – quincs in trap; WNV in area
      - (5) Treated 2 and 3 times a week during peak transmission
  - f) Conclusion
    - i) Flexibility is a must
    - ii) Review, review, review
    - iii) If you need help, ask for it
    - iv) Be willing to change
- 6) Advances in Wolbachia-based Biological Control of Mosquitoes - Dr. Eric Chambers
- a) What's the problem - Lymphatic filariasis
    - i) Global distribution
    - ii) Endemic in 83 countries
      - (1) 120 million infected
      - (2) 1 billion at risk
    - iii) Exclusively human disease
      - (1) Debilitating
      - (2) Chronic symptoms occur
    - iv) 3 parasites involved
      - (1) *Wuchereria bancrofti*
      - (2) *Brugia malayi*
      - (3) *Brugia timori*
    - v) Control works well in some areas but not in others
      - (1) South Pacific Islands are many, small, and isolated
      - (2) Many potential vectors
      - (3) Both periodic and sub-periodic behavior of microfilaria

- b) Study
    - i) *Aedes polynesiensis*
      - (1) Highly exophilic
      - (2) Day-biter
      - (3) Found only on the islands of the south Pacific
    - ii) Epidemiological data
      - (1) Infection rates continue to be >10%
      - (2) Vector control is difficult
        - (a) Multiple breeding sites
        - (b) Efficiency as a vector increases as the microfilarial load decreases
        - (c) Administering the drug actually makes the vector
    - iii) Biological control using incompatible insect technique
      - (1) Mosquitoes infected with *Wolbachia pipentis*
      - (2) Maternally inherited
      - (3) Does not infect vertebrates
  - c) More on cytoplasmic incompatibility
    - i) Bidirectional
    - ii) If either an infected male or an infected female is involved in the mating, there are no progeny
    - iii) Building a better mosquito
      - (1) *Aedes polynesiensis* usually has an “a” type Wolbachia (AP)
      - (2) Breed a mosquito with an entirely different type of Wolbachia
        - (a) Kill off normal Wolbachia
        - (b) Infect with novel Wolbachia
        - (c) Breed up a batch of CP mosquitoes
      - (3) Observe crossing patterns
        - (a) CP x AP = no progeny
        - (b) Population cage tests
          - (i) As time progresses, the population drops to zero
          - (ii) Control cage numbers continue to increase
  - d) Field work
    - i) Med Ent Research Station in Tahiti
    - ii) Semi-field testing
      - (1) Lab strains may have lower relative fitness compared to wild type
      - (2) Experimental design – field cages
        - (a) Male competitiveness assay
        - (b) Same results as in lab
  - e) Conclusions
    - i) Results were positive
    - ii) Mass rearing of CP mosquitoes was next
    - iii) Release sites were on uninhabited islands
  - f) Benefits
    - i) Only males released
    - ii) Not transgenic
    - iii) Species specific
  - g) Lots of work is still needed to be done
- 7) Pesticide Environmental Stewardship Program Update – Rosmarie Kelly

- a) New way of collecting data
- b) Check out survey link on the GMCA website

### **Friday, October 21, 2011**

- 1) Bti Efficacy – Joe Iburg
  - a) Impact of seston on the susceptibility of black fly larvae to Bti
    - i) Good control in the field except at a few locations
    - ii) Study aimed at looking for a reason
      - (1) Looked at river substrate
      - (2) Looked at suspended matter
      - (3) Looked at dissolved matter
    - iii) Seston is the particulate matter suspended in bodies of water such as lakes and seas. It applies to all particulates, including plankton, organic detritus, and inorganic material.
    - iv) Larvae feed continually on materials suspended in the water column
    - v) Water flow moves Bti past them
    - vi) Window of control opportunity is short
  - b) Study 1
    - i) Methods
      - (1) 2009-2010
      - (2) Took water from northern branch of the Susquehanna River
      - (3) Filtered water
      - (4) Seston resuspended in deionized water
    - ii) Larval media used
      - (1) River water
      - (2) River filtrate
      - (3) DI water
      - (4) DI water with re-suspended seston
    - iii) Test
      - (1) Controlled current toxicity test
      - (2) Done in shaker system with different media
      - (3) Added Bti for a known time period
    - iv) Results
      - (1) Dissolved content did not have an effect on mortality
      - (2) Re-suspended solids were causing a decrease in mortality
      - (3) Turbidity also had an effect
  - c) Study 2
    - i) Clay minerals
      - (1) Added different clay minerals to the larval media
      - (2) No difference was found due to addition of clay minerals
    - ii) Cellulose
      - (1) Secondary waste fibers are often discharged into waterways from paper mills
      - (2) Numerous paper mills are found along the Susquehanna River
      - (3) Cellulose causes black fly to stop feeding for a short period
      - (4) Saw some effect

- iii) Electron microscopy
  - (1) Diatoms!
    - (a) Extremely sensitive to environmental conditions
    - (b) Black fly larvae feed on them
    - (c) Blooms occur based on organic material in water
    - (d) Multiple sewage plants occur along the river
  - (2) Needed to look more closely at the effect of diatom blooms
  - (3) 2 basic types of diatoms found
- iv) Study 3
  - (1) Added each of the different type of diatoms to the larval media
  - (2) Saw a reduction in mortality
  - (3) Dose dependent
- d) Hypothesis
  - i) Altered feeding behavior occurs in the presence of some materials but not others – retraction of cephalic fans
  - ii) Food competition
    - (1) High turbidity probably reduces the chance of feeding on the Bti
    - (2) Slower rate of feeding due to high nutrient loads
    - (3) Cessation of feeding when the gut is full
- e) Study 4
  - i) Used DayGlo particles to stand in for Bti
  - ii) Looked at length of DayGlo band /full length of gut x 100 to get a percent feeding
  - iii) Lower percentage equals less feeding
  - iv) Diatoms did cause feeding behavior to change
- f) More work to be done
- 2) Update on the College of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences – Robert Shulstad
  - a) [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/University\\_of\\_Georgia\\_College\\_of\\_Agricultural\\_and\\_Environmental\\_Sciences](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/University_of_Georgia_College_of_Agricultural_and_Environmental_Sciences)
  - b) College ranked 4<sup>th</sup> in the nation
  - c) Extension service is in the top 5 in the Nation
  - d) Provide a product that the Industry wants
    - i) Pure Research
    - ii) Applied Research
  - e) Farmers, specialists, and researchers all work together
  - f) Bring in a lot of outside support
  - g) Royalties
    - i) Plant patents
    - ii) Primarily peanuts
  - h) Experiment Station
    - i) Support of legislation
    - ii) Funding is through a line item in the budget
  - i) Restructuring is occurring
    - i) Extension services
    - ii) Research faculty
  - j) Base funding is at risk due to Government budget cuts
  - k) State population is changing



- l) Hot topics
  - i) Biofuels
  - ii) Genomics/genetics
  - iii) Nutrition and dietary changes
- 3) Mosquito Control and Honey Bees – Robert Moulis
  - a) Honey bee background
    - i) Non-native
    - ii) Introduced in the 1600
    - iii) Social insects
      - (1) One queen
      - (2) Drones (haploid males)
        - (a) Come from infertile eggs
        - (b) Short-lived
      - (3) Workers (females)
    - iv) Larva-pupa-adult
      - (1) Larvae fed pollen and honey
      - (2) Pupal cell is capped
      - (3) Egg to adult ~20 days
    - v) Caste system
    - vi) Strong colony contains 40,000 to 80,000 bees
    - vii) Important pollinators
    - viii) Problems
      - (1) Arthropods
      - (2) Bacteria, virus, fungi
      - (3) Mice
      - (4) Colony Collapse Disorder
      - (5) Pesticides
  - b) Bee hives
    - i) Kept by hobbyists and as a business
    - ii) Hives are filled with wax-covered frames
    - iii) Coastal Georgia - [www.cebeekeeping.com](http://www.cebeekeeping.com)
    - iv) Need a good relationship with local beekeepers
      - (1) Attend local beekeepers meeting
      - (2) Check with the local extension agent
      - (3) Keep lines of communication open
      - (4) Deal with issues promptly
      - (5) Be honest about the reality of the situation
  - c) How Chatham County Mosquito Control deals with bees?
    - i) Map hive locations
      - (1) Commercial
        - (a) Many hives
        - (b) Move them
      - (2) Backyard
        - (a) Few hives
        - (b) Stay in one place
    - ii) Look at the pesticide label
      - (1) Toxicity to bees

- (2) Susceptibility / conflicts
  - (a) Bees are active during the day
  - (b) Potential conflict during swarming
  - (c) Pesticide on flowers can cause a problem
  - (d) During very hot evenings the bees will be outside on the hive
- iii) Courtesy call list
- iv) Visit local beekeepers
- v) Might be able to exclude areas – No Spray Zones
- vi) Suggest backyard beekeepers have hive canopy to prevent drift from coming into contact with the hive
- d) Some mortality will likely occur anyway
- e) A strong beehive can take some mortality without any reduction in production
- f) Legislation
  - i) Some states have laws protecting bee hives
  - ii) Mosquito control is often exempt
- g) Some literature
  - i) <http://www.extension.umn.edu/honeybees/components/pdfs/posters/ProtectionPesticides.pdf>
  - ii) <http://www.ok.gov/~okag/forms/cps/beeprotect.pdf>
  - iii) [http://www.clemson.edu/public/regulatory/pesticide\\_regulation/bulletins/bulletin\\_5\\_protecting\\_honeybees.pdf](http://www.clemson.edu/public/regulatory/pesticide_regulation/bulletins/bulletin_5_protecting_honeybees.pdf)
  - iv) [http://www.suite101.com/article.cfm/beekeeping\\_bees/66699](http://www.suite101.com/article.cfm/beekeeping_bees/66699)
- 4) Mules and Pools – Fred Koehle
  - a) Richmond County Mosquito Control
  - b) Part of the Richmond County Environmental Health Department
  - c) Pools
    - i) 112 in system
      - (1) Maintenance – 7
      - (2) In court – 4
      - (3) Completed – 92
      - (4) In process – 9
    - ii) Process
      - (1) Talk to owner
      - (2) Inspect
      - (3) Send letter requiring work done
      - (4) Re-inspect in 30 days
      - (5) Send letter
        - (a) Thank you letter
        - (b) Need to improve letter
      - (6) Re-inspect in 15 days
      - (7) Send letter
        - (a) Thank you letter
        - (b) Need to improve letter
      - (8) Citation sent
      - (9) Court
        - (a) Need to be able to work with the court system
        - (b) Need to be able to work well with Code Enforcement

- (c) Judges work well with mosquito control
  - d) Kawasaki Mule
    - i) Used for barrier spray application downtown
    - ii) Mounted a swivel chair in back of mule
    - iii) Converted agriculture tank with long hose
    - iv) Barrier spray
      - (1) Flit 13.3
        - (a) Active ingredient is permethrin
        - (b) Do not spray anything that is blooming or about to bloom
        - (c) Spray vegetation
        - (d) [http://www.clarke.com/index.php?option=com\\_content&view=category&layout=blog&id=55&Itemid=136](http://www.clarke.com/index.php?option=com_content&view=category&layout=blog&id=55&Itemid=136)
      - (2) Can shut down spray when people are around
      - (3) Done on Fridays
      - (4) Reapply every 6 weeks
    - v) Also use the mules to larvicide the storm drains
      - (1) Altosid
      - (2) Duplex
    - vi) Benefits
      - (1) Mule uses 7/10<sup>th</sup> of a gallon per hour
      - (2) Cost comparison
        - (a) Truck - \$1.404 per storm drain
        - (b) Mule – \$0.84 per storm drain
      - (3) Total cost savings was over \$8000
      - (4) The Mule paid for itself after one application of larvicide
- 5) NPDES Update – Ros Kelly

### **Association Business Meeting**

#### Officers 2012

- 1) President – Bobby Moulis
- 2) VP – Fred Koehle
- 3) S/T – Jerry DeRamus
- 4) Directors
  - a) 1 year – Ian Brown
  - b) 2 year – Alan Gaines
  - c) 3 year – Jeff Heusel
- 5) Industry Rep – Charlie Pate
- 6) Other reps
  - a) Extension – Elmer Gray
  - b) Public Health – Rosmarie Kelly